

Unknown

From: Patrick J. Rogers [patrogers@modrall.com]
Sent: Monday, January 02, 2012 9:54 AM
To: 'kjgato@gmail.com'; KGardner@susana2010.com
Subject: Happy NY

Hope all is well. 2012 is bound to be better.

How did the Cleanline mtg go? Keith Sparks and Jimmy Glotfelty?
Pat 848-1849

--
IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To comply with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inf
the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another pa

Modrall, Sperling, Roehl, Harris & Sisk, P.A.

THIS MESSAGE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHICH IT IS
If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or agent responsible for
This email was Anti Virus checked by Astaro Security Gateway. <http://www.astaro.com>

7/21/2012

Unknown

From: Erin Kinnard Thompson [erinkin@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, January 02, 2012 1:50 PM
To: ethompson@susana2010.com
Subject: FW: doc
Attachments: scan0001.pdf

Date: Mon, 2 Jan 2012 13:46:00 -0700
Subject: doc
From: russman1970@gmail.com
To: erinkin@hotmail.com

Citizens of New Mexico,

Just one year ago, I presented my first budget to you and we discussed the staggering \$450 million deficit we were facing as a state. I promised you that my Administration would lead by example and we did. We reduced the bloated bureaucracy while protecting classroom spending and basic healthcare services for our vulnerable populations. We did all of that by putting a stop to the credit card spending of the past, by putting in place common-sense, cost-saving measures and by not increasing taxes.

I kept my promises to you. We sold the state's luxury jet, reduced the number of political appointees across state government and reduced their salaries as well. We put a moratorium in place on vehicle purchases, eliminated unused, unnecessary cell phones and email accounts and we reduced the space our agencies are using. All of these common sense approaches saved you, the taxpayers, \$__ millions of dollars, dollars that were returned to our General Fund..

I also promised we would live within our means in government and that we would not leave tough decision to be kicked down the road. We fought hard and got a balanced budget passed by the Legislature for Fiscal Year 2012.

We are now seeing the positive results of
~~The returns on keeping these promises are now showing.~~ On June 30, 2011, we closed last fiscal year with our General Fund reserves at well over 9% and substantially higher than the projected amount of 3.5% being discussed just fourteen months ago. With the conservative budget I signed into law for the current year, along with projected increases in some of our revenue sources spurred by our efforts to get our economy back on track, it looks like we can close this current fiscal year in June 2012 with a reserve level of at least 9% again. Further, the projections now indicate that we can talk about "new money" being available again -- that is, revenues are coming in stronger than our spending level that then allows us to consider additional dollars for spending, tax reform and putting into our reserves. This is great news for all New Mexicans and something we can all be proud of.

Because we reduced the size of government
~~(In addition, the returns on keeping those promises mean that today, instead of facing deficits and budget cuts, we have the ability to be optimistic about our opportunities to continue to make changes in our state that are lasting and positive -- changes that truly make a difference and move New Mexico forward toward a future where we can all be proud of our state rankings in education, health care, and economic development.)~~ *can focus on our health, basic skills, education & public safety*

Strongly reaffirms my commitment to fiscal responsibility
My second budget continues my goal of being fiscally responsible and using sound principals of budgeting. This proposed budget maintains funding for most agencies of state government at the

Unknown

From: Caren Blackstone [CornerstoneCDC@msn.com]
Sent: Monday, January 02, 2012 5:00 PM
Subject: URGENT - State Reps. Espinoza and Herrell ask for letters
Attachments: 2Restore the power of the people.docx; Sample letters.docx; Objections to regulations (CCDC).docx

New Mexico State Representatives Espinoza and Herrell are asking for AS MANY LETTERS AS POSSIBLE to help protect safe, curriculum choices in private preschools and child cares.

Please do not ignore their request. Even a few sentences from you can make a difference!

For a sample or more information (see attachments) or call Cornerstone @ #(575) 434-0106 or My cell# (575) 921-5786

Send letter(s) to these Representatives or I can forward emailed letters
via: cornerstonecdc@msn.com. **Letter Deadline: Jan. 15, 2012 (Jan. 12 preferred).**

You can mail or hand deliver letters to: Cornerstone C.D.C. 2201 Fifteenth Street, Alamogordo NM 88310 (& I will deliver them to these Representatives).

Please, please share this request with as many as possible. (E.g. private child cares, preschools, families in private programs, political parties, associations, school boards, churches, etc.)

Our school's goal is 100 letters. (We also pray for the day that our school might stop being affected by this issue. But, may it never be said that these freedoms were lost during our watch).

May God bless you (& America)

Caren Blackstone

State Representatives Espinoza & Herrell need LETTERS to help them seek protection of safe curriculum practices in NM's private schools.

Our school's goal = 100 letters by Jan. 15

- **In December 2011, NM State's licensing department (CYFD) rejected our school's discipline policy & requests for exemption. The department gave no reason. However, our policy allows for safe, age-appropriate consequences (e.g. brief time-outs for repeated misbehavior). New regulations forbid consequences that are not perceived by children or by the State as "positive").**
- **In 2006, the CYFD wrote preschool regulation to restrict teaching methods other than play. This "child-directed" practice allows children to decide whether to participate during short teacher-led activities, including Bible stories. It conflicts with some faith-based preschool's beliefs regarding a God-honoring curriculum. It was only after MUCH work with former Senator (Dianna Duran) and others that this regulation was revised.**
- **In 2006 and 2010, the State began to tell private preschool age programs, including those church ministries that do not take any state dollars, how to label and arrange their equipment and materials, even if these regulations conflicted with the safe educational policies chosen by these programs or families.**

Please help protect safe curriculum choices in private preschools, because NM is losing them!

We believe that two of these regulations contradict God's word that teaches us to lovingly "Train up children in the way they should go, so when they grow old, they will not depart from it." Our school desires to honor God in all of our curriculum practices. Proverbs 4:20-22: Listen to God's word, don't let it out of your sight. Keep it in the middle of your heart for it brings life to all who find it. For more info., contact Cornerstone Director, Caren Blackstone @ (575) 434-0106 or 921-5786. Email letters to: cornerstonecdc@msn.com

**Restore “government of the people”,
to those paying for private preschools or child care
homes- - Please call or email the Governor today.**

Q. Do you think the freedom of choice for families, churches and private businesses should be removed and given to ONE APPOINTED, STATE OFFICIAL?

A. IF the answer is “NO!” It’s time to DO something about it!

Did you know that New Mexico’s laws let each Governor appoint ONE PERSON to be given authority over every licensed, private preschool and child care in our State? So, ONE PERSON, who is not elected by the people, has power to write regulation that oversees the health and safety in private programs, AND determines what child development views are “appropriate” for all of these private businesses, churches and families. ONE PERSON, the appointed Cabinet Secretary of the Children, Youth and Families Department, has power to enforce regulation and impose fines for violations. ONE PERSON has the sole power to grant or deny requests for exemption to regulation. If a dispute arises, this ONE PERSON oversees the department that will appoint the attorneys, who review it. Since State law requires that almost every private preschool or child care be licensed, this ONE PERSON’S beliefs about costs, “child development” and “quality” affects hundreds of thousands of families every day. This ONE PERSON can also add regulations without a requirement to notify the families affected by their costs or scope of authority over their child. As kind or wise as ONE PERSON may be, is it right to give them such executive, legislative and judiciary power over the families in private preschools or child care programs? Should ONE PERSON decide how or what materials must be used, how all staff must be educated and even what “look” is allowed on their face? Should ONE PERSON require an “open door” policy to a classroom with no restriction, even if families believe this poses a risk to their children? Should ONE PERSON be able to prohibit using “time-out” on the playground, even when a child bullies other children or is defiant to the teacher? Should ONE PERSON be able to contradict even the safe, educational or religious beliefs of private programs, churches or families by mandating what teaching practices are “appropriate” for them? The good news is that our new Governor has stated support of private schools and of reducing over-regulation. So, if we believe that our laws need to protect each citizen’s constitutional right to due process in private schools... If we believe private preschools, child care programs and the families paying for them should have a greater say about safe, educational or religious practices than ONE PERSON... It is time to ask our new Governor and our State leaders to do something about it!

[http://www.governor.state.nm.us/Contact the Governor.aspx](http://www.governor.state.nm.us/Contact%20the%20Governor.aspx)

To call the Governor’s office: (505) 476-2200

Written by Caren Blackstone, 19 year Bible teacher/ director at Cornerstone Child Development Center In Alamogordo, NM
Email: cornerstonecdc@msn.com (Cell): (575) 921-5786 or Cornerstone # (575) 434-0106

Dear Westminster Presbyterian Church & Cornerstone friends,

FOR OUR GOAL = 100 letters.

Below are partial samples of past letters. If you need help writing your letter, use or add what you wish, delete what you don't need. Email it back to me @ cornerstonecdc@msn.com (or) mail it to our church. Attn: Cornerstone, 2201 15th St., Alamo., NM 88310).

If you need more information, let me know. Preferred deadline is Fri. 1/13 (Legislator Breakfast)
Or Sunday, Jan. 15 (prior to meeting with legislators)

My grateful thanks for your help and prayers in this, (Invite other citizens to help, if you can ☺)
Caren (Blackstone) #575-921-5786

2 Corinthians 3:14 Thanks be to God, who always leads us in His triumph of Christ, and manifests through us the sweet aroma of the knowledge of Christ in every place.

Date

Dear State Representatives, Nora Espinoza and Yvette Herrell,

I have taught in private schools for 16 years. I firmly believe parents are responsible for the education of their children, NOT the state. I pray the legislators will be persuaded as well. Private pre-schools should be required to meet basic safety regulations but not be required to manage their school environments according to a government entity. Parents must have the freedom to decide how their children are cared for and educated. Thanks again.

Sincerely,
(personal information has been deleted),

Please list your name

Your title, if applicable

I believe that your physical address must be included to count as legal input
(you may include a phone number)

Date

Dear State Legislators,

As a parent in a private preschool, I am opposed to any more government regulations that involve privately funded education. As a parent, I feel as though I should have a right to decide for myself and my family what type of education is best. As such, I have chosen a private, church school for my child, and I like the instruction and curriculum that is being taught. **Basic licensing should involve health and safety issues only.** I am appalled that the state can write and enforce any type of new regulation without even notifying us of changes that will affect our own child and family! To me this does not seem like "due process". America was founded on freedom and it should be the freedom of all people to choose the type of education they feel is best for their family with limited intrusive government.

Sincerely,
(personal information has been deleted) **See format above**

Cornerstone C.D.C. (Alamogordo) submits the following examples of new State childcare regulations, effective 6/30/10 and determined solely by the CYFD Cabinet Secretary 8.16.2.3, that exceed minimal health and safety standards and therefore promote one, State endorsed educational philosophy. Current State regulations can be found at: www.newmexicokids.org

1) State regulations #8.16.2.24 A. (3) and (4) b and (d). ALL "guidance" must be positive, may not "withdraw outdoor activity", or utilize any type of public or private "humiliation". State regulation #8.16.2.7 Y, states that all "guidance" must be "appropriate". The word "discipline" was also struck from licensing. (Also see the regulation #8.16.2.24 A (2) (2010) at the end of this page). The Merriam-Webster Dictionary refers to the words, "chasten", "humiliate", "correct" and "discipline" as synonyms. Though not all of these terms are "positive", many families or churches believe that the practice of discipline is actually beneficial by leading to positive outcomes. One example found in the Biblical text of Hebrews 12:11 says, "All discipline for the moment seems not to be joyful, but sorrowful, yet to those who have been trained by it, afterwards it yields the peaceful fruit of righteousness". A crucial question is raised by these types of regulation. Should NM State law allow officials to enforce the removal of even safe, "non positive" forms of discipline, such as short "time outs" from recess, non-positive words (such as the word, "no"), and even certain "looks" on a teacher's face, or does this violate the constitutional rights of each family to choose what is appropriate for their own child within private programs and schools that they pay for?

2) State regulation #8.16.2.12 A (9) and #8.16.2.13 A. (S) Civil Monetary Penalties. Newly described fines for repeated violations paired with regulatory changes now allow the State to fine programs for violating regulations that are not related to health or safety, even if this conflicts with a programs' stated, educational or religious beliefs.

3) See State regulation #8.16.2.23. B. (2). b 45-hours worth of training is required for all new employees working directly with children, regardless of hours worked per week, within 6 months of hire. Federal labor law requires that employers reimburse required training hours as paid time. So this regulation, initiated in 2005, significantly affected the costs for families using private child care and education programs. It is particularly burdensome for programs experiencing staff turnover (e.g. programs near universities and military bases). Although, our State does not pay for these increases and is now also experiencing a budget crisis, no family may decide if they can afford such rising costs if the cost is forced upon them through regulation.

4) State regulations #8.16.2.24.C.D.E These regulation set further precedent of requiring how equipment and materials must be organized, included, or used in private and/or religious programs.

S) State regulation #8.16.2.7 Definition: "Star Level means a license indicating the level of quality of an early childhood program...a greater number of stars indicates a higher level of quality." This new regulation endorses the State's educational philosophies over those of private schools or families that disagree. The State funded advertising campaign called "Reach for the STARS" may use these definitions to libel those with conflicting views, by labeling them as "lower quality".

Proposed (basic) regulations, that exceeded health and safety standards:

- 1) ***Proposed State regulation #8.16.2.21 B. (2006) limited all teaching methods, other than "play".
- 2) ***Proposed State regulation #8.16.2.24 A (2) (2010) would penalize a program if a teacher was perceived as giving a "threatening look" to a child.
- 3) ***Proposed State regulation #8.16.2.22 D.1.(g) (2010) required an "unrestricted" open door policy allowing the public unlimited access to students, classrooms and staff.
- 4) ***Proposed State regulation #8.16.2.24.C.D.E (2010 & 2006) See #4 above.

Unknown

From: Phil Musser [pm@newfrontierstrategy.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 03, 2012 8:33 PM
To: susana.m@susana2010.com
Subject: Fwd: NM CAPITOL REPORT: Redistricting judge picks Susana's plan over the Dems'
 :-) GOOD NEWS

Begin forwarded message:

From: MARTINEZ INFO CENTER <warroom@susanapac.com>
Date: January 3, 2012 10:28:50 PM EST
To: MARTINEZ INFO CENTER <warroom@susanapac.com>
Subject: NM CAPITOL REPORT: Redistricting judge picks Susana's plan over the Dems'

Redistricting judge picks Susana's plan over the Dems'
 By: rnikolewski
 NM Capitol Report
 01/03/2012

<http://www.capitolreportnewmexico.com/?p=7465>

Republicans and Gov. Susana Martinez picked up a big win Tuesday evening as a judge in charge of redistricting New Mexico's political boundaries sided with the governor's attorneys in choosing a map for the state's House of Representatives for the next 10 years.

Judge Jim Hall picked a plan drawn up by the governor's legal staff over maps devised by five other plaintiffs, including one headed by the Democratically-controlled state legislature that Martinez vetoed after a special session of the legislature was convened back in September.

The governor's plan "best complies with legal standards for court-ordered redistricting," Judge Hall declared, adding, "It properly places the highest priority on population equality and compliance with the Voting Rights Act as required by law."

Hall rejected the Democrat legislative maps, saying the plan backed by Speaker of the House Ben Luján (D-Nambé) ignored parts of fast-growing Albuquerque "which would dilute the votes" of those living on the city's west side and that the legislative plan protecting the north-central districts in the state would result in a "significant regional imbalance" that was "not justified."

Here's a look at the map Hall sided with: <http://www.capitolreportnewmexico.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/House-approved-map.jpg>

Two other Democratic lawmakers — Reps. Antonio "Moe" Maestas of Albuquerque and Brian Egolf of Santa Fe — put forth their own plans but Hall rejected them, saying the Egolf plan "pairs the only Republican incumbent in north central New Mexico with a Democratic

incumbent and splits Los Alamos from White Rock” while the Maestas plan contained ”highly partisan incumbent pairings.”

During the September special sessions, Republicans complained Democrats purposely gave them the short shrift and unfairly consolidated too many GOP districts. But the Democrat-controlled House and Senate approved the House redistricting plan and sent it to Gov. Martinez, who vetoed it – along with a Democrat-supported redistricting plan for the state Senate and the Public Regulation Commission.

The veto sent the redistricting controversy to the courts. Judge Hall – a retired district judge who ran as a Democrat — was selected to adjudicate the disagreements. A couple weeks ago, Hall signed off on a redistricting plan for US Congressional races that basically left the three New Mexico congressional districts the same.

Hall has two more decisions to hand down – drawing up lines for state Senate races for the next 10 years and approving a PRC redistricting map.

Immediately after Hall’s decision on the House races, Maestas sent out a news release bitterly complaining about the ruling and threatening to appeal:

“We are disappointed that the court is siding with the Governor as she rigs districts to protect Republican incumbents and ignores several key factors including incredible growth in Las Cruces.

“These maps were drawn behind closed doors by the National Republican Party and Gov. Susana Martinez, favor Republicans overwhelmingly, and were hidden from the public and the people’s representatives in the Legislature — only to be snuck in through litigation after the public had been removed from the process.

“The Governor’s game plan is pretty clear: veto anything that comes out of the legislature so you can force an expensive court battle, then pull a fast one to rig the game in Republicans’ favor for the next decade.

“This Governor’s office has proven to be the most partisan in state history. Injecting a partisan agenda into the most noble of governmental processes – redistricting – is a disservice to the many centuries-old communities in New Mexico.”

“We are absolutely weighing every legal alternative that will allow us to continue fighting for fair representation for New Mexicans all over the state.”

The governor’s office released this statement:

“The Governor is pleased with Judge Hall’s ruling. She believes this is a fair plan that consolidates districts in areas that have experienced such tremendous population decline that they justified being collapsed and moved to areas that have seen significant population growth, such as Rio Rancho and Albuquerque’s Westside. This plan does not favor one party over the other and instead ensures competitive districts that will allow New Mexicans to determine who represents them in the House.”

So what happens with the map approved by Hall?

First, Native Americans get what they were looking for, namely that maps drawn up by the Multi-Tribal and Navajo Nations plans keep the Native American interests concentrated in six legislative districts.

“Native Americans in New Mexico continue to suffer the effects of historic discrimination,” Hall wrote in his ruling.

Second, under the governor’s plan, the number of statewide districts with Hispanic voting-age populations over 50 percent increases from 27 to 30.

Third, there are now 34 majority Republican districts and the number of swing districts increases from five to eight.

Fourth, there will be three incumbent pairings as some districts consolidate: one pairing will see a Democrat facing a Democrat, another will see a Republican squaring off with a Republican and the third will see a Democrat battling a Republican.

Unknown

From: Phil Cox [pcox@RGA.ORG]
Sent: Wednesday, January 04, 2012 5:28 PM
To: Susana Martinez (martinez@susana2010.com)
Subject: Confidential RGA Update

Governor Martinez,

I hope you and your family had a merry Christmas and happy New Year.

I wanted to let you know that tomorrow RGA will announce having raised \$44.1 million in 2011, more than doubling our fundraising total from 2007, the last comparable election year. By comparison, the DGA announced this week having raised a total of \$20 million in 2011. In addition, we will report \$26.6 million on hand, with zero debt.

These results simply would not have been possible without your hard work, leadership, and commitment. Given all of the demands on your time, I am incredibly grateful for your continued efforts.

Below you will find a more detailed fundraising, political, and policy summary and update. In addition, tomorrow Governor McDonnell will send an email to each non-Executive Committee governor with a financial update, a reiteration of the Chairman's Goals for 2012, and a request for their continued support and engagement.

As always, if you have any questions or concerns, please don't hesitate to contact me.

Looking forward to a great 2012!

Best,

Phil

O: 202.464.8562
 C: 703.867.5603

Fundraising Summary

	<u>Raised</u>	<u>Cash on Hand</u>
2011:	\$44.1 M	\$26.6 M
2010:	\$86 M	\$1 M (with \$3 M in debt)
2009:	\$30 M	\$25 M
2008:	\$27 M	\$14 M
2007:	\$21.9 M	\$9.2 M

Executive Roundtable (\$25K-\$250K): \$17.2 M raised

Capital Campaign (\$250K+): \$9.3 M raised from 17 individuals and 40+ corporations.

Political Update

Defenses

Utah: Rep. Jim Matheson, who was considering a run for Governor, would have been a formidable challenger to Governor Herbert. However, with Matheson's recent announcement that he would run for the 4th Congressional district seat, this race is unlikely to be competitive. With assistance from RGA in scheduling fundraising calls in December, Gov. Herbert will report \$1 million on hand as of his Dec. 31 report.

Wisconsin: The effort led by union-backed United Wisconsin is close to obtaining the \$40,000 signatures necessary to initiate a recall election. Once the recall petition signatures are submitted, the Wisconsin Government Accountability Board will validate the signatures and set the final date for the recall election. Considering the time it will take to validate the petition and the likelihood of ensuing litigation, the recall election is currently projected to take place in June or July. The Democratic field is fluid. His likely Democratic opponent is former Dade County Executive, Kathleen Falk. Milwaukee Mayor Tom Barrett, who Governor Walker defeated in 2010, is also considering running.

Indiana: Rep. Pence is off to a very strong start, and will report \$6 M raised and \$4.5 M on hand at the end of the year. Former Speaker John Gregg has likely avoided a primary contest and is the presumptive Democrat nominee. He is expected to report approximately \$2 M on hand on Dec. 31. Pence leads Gregg by more than 25 points in the most recent public and internal polls.

North Dakota: According to Gov. Dalrymple's internal polling from December, he enjoys an 81% job approval, and has \$650,000 on hand. The most serious potential opponents have passed on this race, and he will face Ryan Taylor, a little-known state Senator.

Puerto Rico: This summer, Governor Fortuno signed an election reform law that simplified ballots and implemented electronic voting systems. However, it is still uncertain whether or not the election reform bill would allow RGA participation since the election law is still being translated into English (apparently, this takes months). Fortuno's opponent is Sen. Alejandro Garcia Padilla. He is a young charismatic figure and a relative newcomer to politics. Padilla is a successful attorney and prior to his '08 run for the Senate, served as the Puerto Rico Secretary of Consumer Affairs. We expect to know by mid-January if RGA is able to engage in Puerto Rico.

Pick Up Opportunities

North Carolina: A Dec. 4 survey from Public Policy Polling shows McCrory leading Perdue 50-40. Perdue continues to struggle, with three members of her 2008 campaign team recently indicted for election law violations and obstruction of justice. However, Perdue is benefiting somewhat from an increasingly unpopular GOP-controlled state legislature, and as of July had \$1.3 M in the bank. McCrory reported raising \$1.5 M since July 1st, and has more than \$2 M on hand as of Dec. 31.

Washington: A Nov. 23rd Survey USA poll shows McKenna leading Inslee 44-38. McKenna has raised

\$3.75 M to Inslee's \$3 M, and enjoys a \$2.4 M to \$1.9 M cash on hand advantage as of the most recent Nov. 30 financial reporting deadline. From early December through the end of the legislative session (at the earliest, March 9), McKenna faces a black out period where he is prohibited from raising campaign funds. Rep. Inslee does not face similar restrictions.

Montana: Attorney General Steve Bullock is the likely Democratic nominee. He is a strong candidate with no primary opponent, \$330,000 on hand, and a growing campaign infrastructure. On the GOP side, former US Rep. Rick Hill remains the frontrunner, with \$200,000 on hand, but is among a long list of 8 potential GOP candidates. State Senator Jeff Essmann and TEA party candidate Ken Miller are currently Hill's most credible primary opponents. While pure name ID continues to be a driving factor, year-end campaign finance reports will serve as an important metric. The primary will be held on June 5th.

West Virginia: At this point, it appears Maloney has cleared the field and is unlikely to have primary opposition. He is committed to putting in a minimum of \$1 M of his own money (put \$2 M+ in 2011), with a goal of raising an additional \$2 M. Tomblin recently called a special session to focus on energy/Marcellus Shale, and was successful in passing bipartisan legislation, bolstering his image.

Missouri: A Dec. 11 PPP survey has Gov. Nixon's job approval at 51-30. We expect him to have \$5 M+ in the bank at the end of the year. Since Lt. Gov. Kinder endorsed Dave Spence in November, Spence has raised \$400,000 and has put \$2 M of his own money into the race. He will have more than \$2.3 M on hand, exceeding in 1 month what Kinder amassed in nearly 2 years.

New Hampshire: The Democrats' likely nominee is former Senate Majority Leader Maggie Hassan, but she may face additional challengers in the primary. On the GOP side, Ovide Lamontagne and former Cornerstone Policy Research Executive Director Kevin Smith are running, and there is a possibility that Ted Gatsas, the Mayor of Manchester, and John Stephen will also seek the nomination. The September 11 primary is one of the latest of 2012 cycle.

Vermont: According to an August PPP survey, Governor Shumlin job approval is 45-36. Former Vermont State Auditor and two-term state Senator Randy Brock has announced his candidacy for Governor and has been endorsed by former Lt. Gov. and 2010 candidate Brian Dubie. Brock is currently the only GOP candidate in the race, but he is likely to have a credible primary opponent.

Delaware: Brandywine businessman Jeff Cragg has thrown his hat into the ring against Gov. Markell. Cragg is the past co-chair of the New Castle County Republican Party and has previously considered other statewide runs. He has a background in the insurance field and currently owns several Mail Boxes, Etc. franchises in the Wilmington area. Cragg has the potential to put in \$500K+ of his own money, which is significant in a state the size of Delaware. This remains a very challenging race for any Republican candidate.

Policy Update

Governors Barbour and Mead hosted an energy symposium in Jackson Hole, WY on Dec. 12 – 13th which included 50 staff from 25 offices. There is consensus among staff to conduct an effort on energy similar to this year's effort on health care, devising pro-active (not simply reactive) solutions on energy

policy.

RGPPC staff is working with Governor Mead and Governor Daniels to chart the energy path for 2012. A working group has been formed which will be led by staff from Montana, Indiana, Mississippi, Oklahoma, and Virginia. Additionally, we have formed close working relationships with top energy and environment staff from Capitol Hill and intend to formalize this relationship in January with a working group.

The in-depth healthcare policy discussions during the summit in October helped build the framework of a practical healthcare policy strategy and a path forward for 2012.

We have published our 2011 best practices report and will continue to update this throughout 2012 and working with Governor Daniels and his team to create a strategic path forward for 2012.

Phil Cox
Executive Director
Republican Governors Association
1747 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Suite 250
Washington, DC 20006
Phone: 202.464.8562
Email: pcox@rga.org

Unknown

From: Jeb Bush [jeb@jeb.org]
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2012 6:24 AM
To: susana.m@susana2010.com; hannaskandera@yahoo.com
Subject: school incentives
Flag Status: Red

Great proposals, Governor! Please let us know how we can help.

Gov. Wants Incentives for Schools

By Hailey Heinz / Journal Staff Writer
 Wed, Jan 4, 2012

Gov. Susana Martinez hopes to attach funding to her A-F school grading policy, awarding extra money to high-performing schools and giving struggling schools extra cash to hire instructional coaches.

She also hopes to give bonuses to “highly effective” teachers and principals if they transfer to low-performing schools.

Martinez announced the proposals Tuesday, saying they will be part of her budget proposal to the Legislature in the upcoming session. She said the proposals, which would cost a total of about \$6.5 million, would be funded with new revenue. Most of that would go to low-performing schools.

“The bottom line is we want to reward successful schools, provide increased incentives for schools to raise their performance levels, and provide targeted and effective help to schools and students who need it the most,” Martinez said.

Santa Fe Public Schools Superintendent Bobbie Gutierrez, who was at the announcement, said many low-performing schools in Santa Fe already have instructional coaches, and she would rather spend the money on teacher training.

Instructional coaches are brought in to help teachers improve. Some have a particular focus, such as literacy or math.

Paul Aguilar, deputy education secretary for finance, said his department is considering how best to help schools that already have instructional coaches. He said schools may use the money to add more coaches, or to free federal dollars already being spent on instructional coaches.

Martinez said low-performing schools that receive the extra funding will have to show improvement within two years or risk losing the money.

“This isn’t simply throwing money at the program, an approach we have seen in New Mexico for far too long,” Martinez said. “For our D and F schools who are receiving targeted funds, there will be accountability.”

Albuquerque Public Schools chief of staff Joseph Escobedo said he did not know the details of the governor’s

proposal, but said APS favors a budget that would put any new revenues into the general education budget to restore funds cut in the past few years.

The plan to lure effective teachers and principals to struggling schools was still light on specifics Tuesday, in terms of how the “highly effective” staffers would be identified and how much the bonuses would be. Also during the legislative session, Martinez will propose a bill to evaluate teachers based largely on their students’ growth on test scores.

Ellen Bernstein, president of the Albuquerque Teachers Federation, was critical of that part of the proposal.

Bernstein pointed to research showing teachers choose their schools based largely on working conditions like autonomy and the quality of the principal, not salary.

“There’s no research that says bonuses move teachers and make them stay in a school,” Bernstein said.

Martinez’s plan breaks down to these amounts:

\$4.3 million to schools that receive a D or F grade, specifically for hiring instructional coaches and offering other services such as tutoring.

\$1.25 million to the 25 highest-performing schools statewide, which would each get \$50,000 to spend as they choose.

\$500,000 in bonuses for high-quality teachers to work in struggling schools.

\$400,000 in bonuses for high-quality principals to work in struggling schools.

Unknown

From: HAWilson@aol.com
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2012 6:37 AM
To: jay@mccleskeymedia.com
Cc: rcangiolosi@susana2010.com
Subject: Thank you State Government

If you want an earful about how state government -- in this case the "Department of Workforce Solutions" -- is continuing to suck up the time and good will of small businesses, I just spent an hour getting signed up on their new and improved system that I was informed about through a breathless letter from WFS (with the Governor's name on the top) would be really great for me.

Made it through software glitches etc. to find that, while I had just successfully updated the government's information on my business, I can't even do the rudimentary quarterly report that is my only interaction with WFS. All the real work still is done on paper. In fact, there is really nothing there to see other than the information that I gave state government on me. Wow.

Why on earth would you want to piss off every small business owner in the state like this?

When they are ready to serve CUSTOMERS and make their lives better, than roll out a TESTED computer system that will serve BUSINESS. Until then, don't suck up MY time to do YOUR detebese work. Maybe I should bill WFS for en hour of my time from 5am to 6am -- when meny small business owners are at work.

Man, I hate self serving bureaucratic government.

Heather Wilson
President
Heather Wilson & Company LLC
505-331-0178

7/21/2012

Unknown

From: Peter Prince [arc2arc@mac.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 11:26 AM
To: personnel@susana2010.com
Subject: Re: Thank You For Applying


Ryan,

Last year I applied for a position on the NMOHV advisory board. Can you please inform me of any progress in populating the board. Since my application I have also been appointed to the Resource Advisory Board of the Albuquerque District, BLM and coordination of the efforts of the various agencies has significant appeal. I am very interested in supporting the State of New Mexico sort through issues relating to outdoor recreation. PLease let me know what I can do to assist you.

Sincerely
Pete Prince

On Dec 14, 2010, at 2:52 PM, Ryan Cangioli wrote:

Email not displaying correctly? [View it in your browser.](#)



Dear Peter,

We wish to thank you for applying to serve the people of New Mexico. Governor-elect Susana Martinez is looking for applicants that fill a wide array of qualifications, exemplify the highest ethical standards and will always put the people of New Mexico first.

Your resume will be reviewed and compared to the current needs of the Administration. Should your qualifications match those needed for a current position, we will contact you to arrange an interview.

Thank you again for your interest.

Sincerely,

Ryan Cangioli
Personnel Director
Governor-elect Martinez Transition Office

Sent to arc2arc@mac.com. [Unsubscribe](#) | [Update Profile](#) | [Forward to a Friend](#)

7/21/2012

Unknown

From: Erin Kinnard Thompson [erinkin@hotmail.com]
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 5:54 PM
To: ethompson@susana2010.com
Subject: FW: briefing Tuesday

From: erinkin@hotmail.com
To: jcausey@susanapac.com; jay@mccleskeymedia.com; sdarnell@susanapac.com
CC: rcangioli@susanapac.com; kjgatl@gmail.com; kgardner@susanapac.com
Subject: FW: briefing Tuesday
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2012 21:07:19 +0000

Can you all advise ASAP if you are available for a conference call tomorrow morning on this issue? I am available from about 9:30 AM to noon. Not sure if Ryan or Keith need to be included as well.

From: Erin.Thompson@state.nm.us
To: erinkin@hotmail.com
Subject: FW: briefing Tuesday
Date: Mon, 9 Jan 2012 20:39:08 +0000

Erin Kinnard Thompson
Cabinet Director
Office of Governor Susana Martinez
State Capitol Building, Suite 400
Santa Fe, NM 87501
505-476-2200 / fax 505-476-2226

From: Stavem, Christine, PED
Sent: Monday, January 09, 2012 1:39 PM
To: Thompson, Erin, GOV
Subject: briefing Tuesday

Hi Erin,

As I mentioned, the Secretary would like to be able to brief you, Janel, Scott and Jay on the 40th day numbers and unit value tomorrow. Are you all available for a call some time between 9:00 a.m. and 11:30 a.m.? It should take less than 30 minutes, but it's really important. Thanks so much - Christine

Christine Stavem
Chief of Staff
New Mexico Public Education Department
300 Don Gaspar
Santa Fe, NM 87501
christine.stavem@state.nm.us

7/21/2012

Unknown

From: Phil Cox [pcox@RGA.ORG]
Sent: Tuesday, January 10, 2012 1:55 PM
To: Susana Martinez (martinez@susana2010.com)
Subject: FW: Thank you

Follow Up Flag: Follow up

Flag Status: Completed

Governor – Thank you for leading the Executive Roundtable call this afternoon. We had a quality group on the line. I'll make sure your staff gets the list.

Thanks again for all that you do.

Best,

Phil

Phil Cox
Executive Director
Republican Governors Association
1747 Pennsylvania Ave, NW
Suite 250
Washington, DC 20006
Phone: 202.464.8562
Email: pcox@rga.org

7/21/2012

Unknown

From: Ryan Cangioli [rmkcang@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 13, 2012 9:14 AM
To: Jritchie@susanapac.com
Cc: Jhemandez@susana2010.com
Subject: Fw: Simon A. Kubiak - Resume 2012
Attachments: Simon_Kubiak.Resume.2012.doc
FYI... Let's have Jim Lane interview him.

Thanks,

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Simon Kubiak <simonkubiak@gmail.com>
To: Ryan Cangioli <rmkcang@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, January 13, 2012 9:11 AM
Subject: Simon A. Kubiak - Resume 2012

Hey Ryan:

I have attached my updated resume in MS Word format. Please use this resume when the Martinez administration considers me for the position of general counsel for the Dept. of Game and Fish. After speaking about my options with you and Adam and knowing I truly want to serve this administration and the people of New Mexico I would like to express my interest in this general counsel position. Remember, I already supplied my background check information and was cleared in mid 2011.

Let me know the next steps from here.

Simon Kubiak

--

CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT: This electronic message transmission contains information from the law firm of Kubiak & Kubiak, a Professional Corporation and is confidential or privileged. The information in this E-mail message, and any files transmitted with it, are confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended only for the use of the individual(s) or entities named above. If you are the intended recipient, be aware that your use of any confidential or personal information may be restricted by state and federal privacy laws. If you, the reader of this message, are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that you should not further disseminate, distribute, or forward this E-mail message and should immediately destroy the same.

7/20/2012

Unknown

From: Dave Sepich [dsepich@springtimesupply.com]

Sent: Monday, January 16, 2012 12:51 PM

To: susana.m@susana2010.com

Subject: Bat Brigade meeting

Hi Susana:

As a last resort, the Chamber of Commerce has asked me to see if I can get through to see if the Bat Brigade could meet with you on Tuesday morning January 31st. They have left their calendar open hoping that you could work them into your schedule for 30 minutes.

Please let me know. They have sent emails to your Chief of Staff, and registered on the on-line page to try to get an appointment.

Also, Mayor Janway and I have sent you a letter requesting you to speak at the Carlsbad National Nuclear Fuel Cycle Summit April 3rd. You should be getting the letter asap. We have invited speakers from the Nuclear Industry and Defense Nuclear Waste Complex and Sen. Pete Domenici and General Scowcroft from the Blue Ribbon Panel.

Having you here to open the Conference would provide a great benefit to making the Conference a success.

Please let me know if you can attend.

PS, Jayann is on the road again this year. She left for Washington State today.

Thanks

Dave Sepich

7/20/2012

Unknown

From: Bobby Judkins [brumbybrumby@yahoo.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 11:41 AM
To: DGallegos@da.state.nm.us; Kamau@policeabuse.com; info@susana2010.com; askDOJ@usdoj.gov; lsouthard@nmag.gov
Cc: Bobby
Subject: Harassment

Dear Sir:

Once again the harassment continues...I believe you advised me to advise you.

License Plate G-65353 NM Gov 11.15 @ approximately 10.50 hours. After having complained and receiving your response about Angel Fire Harassment by the Police, I and others are again being stalked, followed and attempts at intimidation. Older bald headed person driving. Jan 17, 2012. Not Mike Smith this time but they take turns apparently.

I find today after yet another brief intermission they continue to drive by, stalk and follow similar to gang bangers, cowards that they are. Myself and others I imagine.

This is in addition to the death of one Jon Kouba after Angel Fire Police arrested on a minor FTA. And his subsequent harassment by Gina Kieft most likely in collusion with the Police as HR Christi Germschied serves on the village council as well as the Angel Fire Resort HR.

State officials have advised me that there are no human rights violations in that there was apparently no discrimination. However after my dismissal and harassment (false, as decision rendered in NM administrative law court) Mr. Kouba being extremely overweight but aided in the workplace harassment (extreme... by both Gina Kieft and Rebecca Seymour and probably Christi Germschied) the stress then being a secondary leading cause of his death I would say that is a Human Rights Issue, discrimination or not? As well as Constitutional Violations and Civil Rights criminal act(s) specifically 18USC 240-242 and other lesser statutes.

B. Judkins

CC: Legal File/Attorney/Others

7/20/2012

Unknown

From: bradcates@cox.net
Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 1:05 PM
To: ryan.cangiolosi@state.nm.us
Cc: jhernandez@susana2010.com
Subject: Gop counsel, hjc

Hi ryan. My office is just outside tom taylors. Call on me if I can be of any help.
Brad
Sent via BlackBerry from T-Mobile

Unknown

From: iCloud [noreply@me.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 22, 2012 7:48 PM
To: susana.m@susana2010.com
Subject: Welcome to iCloud.

Dear Susana,

Welcome to iCloud. The Apple ID for your iCloud account is **susana.m@susana2010.com** and it's what you'll use to get your iPhone, iPad, iPod touch, Mac, and PC set up. Just follow these instructions and you'll be up and running in no time.

Once iCloud is set up, it stores your content and wirelessly pushes it to all your devices. For example, buy a song with iTunes on your computer, and it will appear on your iPod touch and iPad. When you snap a photo on your iPhone it will automatically be sent to your iPad. You can start creating a Pages document on your iPhone, and put the finishing touches on it from your iPad. There's no need to dock or sync to your computer. With iCloud, it just works.

We hope you enjoy using iCloud.

Sincerely,

Apple

iCloud requires iOS 5 on iPhone 3GS or later, iPod touch (3rd and 4th generation), iPad, or iPad 2; a Mac computer with OS X Lion; or a PC with Windows Vista or Windows 7 (Outlook 2007 or 2010 or an up-to-date browser is required for accessing email, contacts, and calendars). Some features require a Wi-Fi connection. Some features are not available in all countries. Access to some services is limited to 10 devices. Previous purchases may be unavailable if they are no longer in the iTunes Store, App Store, or iBookstore.

Copyright © 2012 Apple Inc. 1 Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014 All Rights Reserved Terms of Service | Privacy Policy

Unknown

From: iTunes Store [do_not_reply@itunes.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2012 11:41 AM
To: susana.m@susana2010.com
Subject: Your receipt No.149028236543
Flag Statua: Red



Rece

Billed To:
 susana.m@susana2010.com
 Susana Martinez
 6125 Jornada N
 LAS CRUCES, NM 88012-9505
 USA

Order Number: MHH46V8Z6G
Receipt Date: 01/25/12
Order Total: \$10.78
Billed To: MasterCard 8585

Item	Artist	Type	Unit Price
It Is What It Is Write a Review Report a Problem	Lifehouse	Song	\$1.29
Far Away Write a Review Report a Problem	Nickelback	Song	\$1.29
Broken Write a Review Report a Problem	Lifehouse	Song	\$1.29
No One Write a Review Report a Problem	Alicia Keys	Song	\$1.29
In Your Eyes Write a Review Report a Problem	George Benson	Song	\$0.99
Forever and Almost Always Write a Review Report a Problem	Kate Voegele	Song	\$1.29
Falling In Love At a Coffee Shop Write a Review Report a Problem	Landon Pigg	Song	\$1.29
This Far Write a Review Report a Problem	Landon Pigg	Song	\$1.29
Subtotal:			\$10.02
Tax:			\$0.76
Order Total:			\$10.78

Those who bought your selections also bought:



Life Left to Go
SafetySuit



Extreme Behavior
Hinder



Exile On Mainstream
Matchbox Twenty



Losing Sleep (Bonus Tract
Version)
Parachute

Please retain for your records.
 Please See Below For Terms And Conditions Pertaining To This Order.

Apple Inc.
 You can find the iTunes Store Terms of Sale and Sales Policies by launching your iTunes application and clicking on Terms of Sale or Sales Policies

Answers to frequently asked questions regarding the iTunes Store can be found at
<http://www.apple.com/support/itunes/store/>

Apple respects your privacy.
Information regarding your personal information can be viewed at <http://www.apple.com/legal/privacy/>

Copyright © 2011 Apple Inc. All rights reserved

Unknown

From: iTunes Store [do_not_reply@itunes.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 25, 2012 11:41 AM
To: susana.m@susana2010.com
Subject: Your receipt No.149028236543
Flag Status: Red




Receipt

Billed To:
 susana.m@susana2010.com
 Susana Martinez
 6125 Jornada N
 LAS CRUCES, NM 88012-9505
 USA

Order Number: MHH46V8Z6G
Receipt Date: 01/25/12
Order Total: \$10.78
Billed To: MasterCard 8585

Item	Artist	Type	Unit Price
It Is What It Is	Lifehouse	Song	\$1.29
Write a Review Report a Problem			
Far Away	Nickelback	Song	\$1.29
Write a Review Report a Problem			
Broken	Lifehouse	Song	\$1.29
Write a Review Report a Problem			
No One	Alicia Keys	Song	\$1.29
Write a Review Report a Problem			
In Your Eyes	George Benson	Song	\$0.99
Write a Review Report a Problem			
Forever and Almost Always	Kate Voegele	Song	\$1.29
Write a Review Report a Problem			
Falling In Love At a Coffee Shop	Landon Pigg	Song	\$1.29
Write a Review Report a Problem			
This Far	Landon Pigg	Song	\$1.29
Write a Review Report a Problem			
Subtotal:			\$10.02
Tax:			\$0.76
Order Total:			\$10.78

Those who bought your selections also bought:



Life Left to Go
SafetySuit



Extreme Behavior
Hinder



Exile On Mainstream
Matchbox Twenty



Losing Sleep (Bonus Track
Version)
Parachute

Please retain for your records.
 Please See Below For Terms And Conditions Pertaining To This Order.

Apple Inc.
 You can find the iTunes Store Terms of Sale and Sales Policies by launching your iTunes application and clicking on Terms of Sale or Sales Policies

Answers to frequently asked questions regarding the iTunes Store can be found at
<http://www.apple.com/support/itunes/store/>

Apple respects your privacy.
Information regarding your personal information can be viewed at <http://www.apple.com/legal/privacy/>

Copyright © 2011 Apple Inc. All rights reserved

Unknown

From: Carlos Martinez [carlosmiguelmartinez@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 4:55 PM
To: jperez@susana2010.com
Subject: Heritage Preservation Society coming to Santa Fe

Hi Jessica,

I met you last January, you helped me with the inauguration arrangements for my cousin Susana. I am affiliated with a preservation group from Austin, Texas who is doing a restoration project in the Taos Pueblo. Could you direct me to the right people to see if there is interest from Susana in meeting our group?

We are coming to Santa Fe sometime in June and I thought this would be a good opportunity for my cousin Susana to meet these people from Texas who are doing cultural preservation in New Mexico. The following link will give you helpful information regarding the founder of the preservation society <http://www.fohpinfo.org>, this link will give you information on Michael Klein who is a member of the group that lives in both Santa Fe and Austin, he and his wife will be hosting a dinner that I thought the governor may like to attend <http://www.humanitiestexas.org/about/board/bios.php>

Please let me know if there is any interest and I will be happy to coordinate the details.

Sincerely,

Carlos Martinez
(512) 796-4950

Vetltr02 12b

Desperados Veterans Group of Las Cruces
2854 Swartz Rd.
Las Cruces, New Mexico 88007-5125

Billy M. Armijo at the above address. My telephone number is 575-526-2519.
My email address is: pacaarmijo@yahoo.com

27 Jan 2012
Hey Desperados,

Get-em up and move -em out.

BREAKFAST
0745 HRS, SERV. 0800
FEBRUARY 4, 2012
GOLDEN MESA RETIREMENT
YOU/ANY VETERAN
INVITE ANOTHER VETERAN
INVITE SOME ONE
BYOB (Buy Your Own Breakfast)

Golden Mesa Retirement Community is at 151 Roadrunner Parkway, main building.
Deborah has promised us a good deal. Let's try it.

Some of our younger troops are heading out to Egypt while others are returning and we need to get those returning in our rolls. If you know of any, invite them to breakfast. JR has been keeping busy at the office. Reminder: If you don't have your Veterans Tax Exemption, it's time to get it. And, if it is not in place at the county tax assessor you need to get it there.

We have a lot to be thankful for. We still find time to break bread with our veteran brothers and sisters.

See you at breakfast.

Thanks.
Just Thanks ... For being a Veteran.

Billy

Unknown

From: Billy Armijo [pacaarmijo@yahoo.com]
Sent: Friday, January 27, 2012 6:06 PM
To: J R Tumer; Jack Barclay; Frank Geisel; Gary Perry; Jacqueline Diego; Gilbert Olivas; Fred Schneider; Janis Nawakowski; Jay Austin; Eli Mabry; Francis Rauber; Greg Morales; George LaRose; Doyle Pruitt; James Carlile; Hector Vanegas; Ernie Arzabal; Ernest Medrano; susana.m@susana2010.com; Glenn Shields; Dr. Terry McMillan; Dr. Mark Holden; Frank Brennan; Eilene Whitacre; Fabricio Gonzalez
Subject: Desperados breakfast
Attachments: Vetltr02.12b.txt; Vetltr02.12a.pdf; Vetltr02.12.doc

Hey Desperados,

Time for breakfast. Please see one of the attachments. They are all the same except for format.

Thanks,

Billy

7/20/2012